1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
3	AT BECKLEY
4	
5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
6	MATTHEW GIBSON,
7	Plaintiff,
8	vs. CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:21-cv-00181
9	LOUISE E. GOLDSTON, Individually, COUNTY COMMISSION OF RALEIGH COUNTY, a political subdivision,
10	JEFF MCPEAKE, Individually, BRIAN WHITE, Individually,
11	BOBBY STUMP, Individually, KYLE LUSK, Individually,
12	Defendants.
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	
15	
16	Deposition of LOUISE E. GOLDSTON taken by the Plaintiff under the Federal Rules of Civil
17	Procedure in the above-entitled action, pursuant to notice, before Bradford L. Cooper, a Notary Public,
18	at Pullin, Fowler, Flanagan, Brown, and Poe, PLLC, 252 George Street, Beckley, West Virginia, on the
19	1st day of March, 2022.
20	
21	REALTIME REPORTERS, a Huseby Company
22	BRADFORD L. (Brad) COOPER, Notary Public 713 Lee Street
23	Charleston, WV 25301 (304) 344-8463
24	realtimereporters.net



1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	APPEARING FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
4	John H. Bryan, Esquire JOHN H. BRYAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW 411 Main Street
5	P.O. Box 366 Union, West Virginia 24983
6	jhb@johnbryanlaw.com
7	ADDEADING FOR THE DEFENDANTS STUMP MODELING AND
8	APPEARING FOR THE DEFENDANTS STUMP, MCPEAKE, AND WHITE:
9	Kevin J. Robinson, Esquire PULLIN, FOWLER, FLANAGAN, BROWN,
10	AND POE, PLLC 252 George Street
11	Beckley, West Virginia 25801
12	APPEARING FOR THE DEFENDANT, LOUISE E. GOLDSTON:
13	·
14	Jennifer E. Tully, Esquire BAILEY & WYANT, PLLC 500 Virginia Street East, Suite 600
15	P.O. Box 3710 Charleston, West Virginia 25337-3710
16	Charleston, west virginia 25357-5710
17	APPEARING FOR THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF WEST
18	VIRGINIA:
19	Bradley Schmalzer, Esquire (via telephone) Julianne Wisman, Esquire (via telephone)
20	AL GO DDDGDVIII
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	Bobby Stump, Defendant Matthew Gibson, Plaintiff
23	J.R. Morgan
24	



LOUISE GOLDSTON GIBSON V GOLDSTON

March 01, 2022

1			EXAMINATION INDEX	
2				
3			BY MR. BRYAN	6
4				
5				
6			EXHIBIT INDEX	
7				
8	Exhibit	1	Louise Goldston Judicial Disciplinary Proceeding	6
9	Exhibit	2	Public Admonishment of the	23
10			Honorable Eric Shuck, Judge of the 13th Family Court Circuit	
11	Exhibit	3	Louise Goldston Judicial	43
12			Disciplinary Counsel Agreement	
13	Exhibit	4	Formal Statement of Charges	44
14	Exhibit	5	Transcript of Judicial Board Hearing of Louise Goldston dated	56
15			January 15, 2021	
16	Exhibit	6	Audio Recording Recorded by Plaintiff Matthew Gibson	65
17	Evhihi+	7	Video of the Incident at the Home	82
18	LAHIDIC	,	of Matthew Gibson	02
19	Exhibit	8	Divorce Hearing Video dated April 19, 2018	84
20	Evhihi+	Ω		90
21	EXIIIDIC	J	Recording of Kyle Lusk at Hearing re: Search	90
22	Exhibit	10	Voicemail Recording of Kyle Lusk	95
23				
24				



LOUISE GOLDSTON GIBSON V GOLDSTON

March 01, 2022

	CIDSCIV V	/ GOLDSTON	4
1		OBJECTION INI	DEX
2	BY MS BY MS		13 13
3	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY	24 25
4	BY MS BY MS	• TULLY	26 26
5	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY	28 45
6	BY MS BY MS	• TULLY	45 51
7	BY MS BY MS	• TULLY	52 53
8	BY MS BY MS	• TULLY	53 54
9	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY	54 54
10	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY	57 58
11	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY	62 75
12	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY	76 79
13	BY MR BY MS	. ROBINSON	91 94
14	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY	96 98
15	BY MS BY MS		99 100
16	BY MS BY MS	. TULLY . TULLY	103 103
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	1		



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COURT REPORTER: This is the
3	deposition of Louise E. Goldston in the matter of
4	Matthew Gibson versus Louise E. Goldston, et al.,
5	taking place at the offices of Pullin, Fowler,
6	Flanagan, Brown, and Poe in Beckley, West Virginia
7	on this 1st day of March, 2022. The time is
8	10:16 a.m. We are now on the record.
9	This case is venued in the United
10	States District Court for the Southern District of
11	West Virginia at Beckley, being Civil Action No.
12	5:21-cv-00181.
13	My name is Brad Cooper on behalf of
14	Realtime Reporters, located at 713 Lee Street in
1 =	
15	Charleston, West Virginia. I am your court
16	reporter and a Notary Public.
16	reporter and a Notary Public.
16 17	reporter and a Notary Public. At this time, would counsel please
16 17 18	reporter and a Notary Public. At this time, would counsel please state their appearances and whom they represent and
16 17 18 19	reporter and a Notary Public. At this time, would counsel please state their appearances and whom they represent and then I'll swear in the witness.
16 17 18 19 20	reporter and a Notary Public. At this time, would counsel please state their appearances and whom they represent and then I'll swear in the witness. MS. TULLY: Jennifer Tully on behalf
16 17 18 19 20 21	reporter and a Notary Public. At this time, would counsel please state their appearances and whom they represent and then I'll swear in the witness. MS. TULLY: Jennifer Tully on behalf of Defendant Judge Louise Goldston.



1	behalf of Defendants Stump, McPeake, and White.
2	MS. TULLY: Hey Brad, we need you-all
3	to state your appearance, please.
4	MR. SCHMALZER: Bradley Schmalzer and
5	Julianne Wisman with the Supreme Court of Appeals
6	of West Virginia.
7	(The witness was sworn.)
8	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 1
9	(Louise Goldston Judicial Disciplinary
10	Proceeding was marked for
11	identification purposes as Goldston
12	Exhibit No. 1.)
13	LOUISE E. GOLDSTON
14	was called as a witness by the Plaintiff, pursuant
15	to notice, and having been first duly sworn,
16	testified as follows:
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. BRYAN:
19	Q. Please state your name.
20	A. Louise Ellen Goldston.
21	Q. And have you ever had your deposition taken
22	before?
23	A. Unless you count the sworn statement I gave
24	to the JDC, no.



- Q. Have you had an opportunity to review the Supreme Court Opinion that was issued in your judicial disciplinary case?
 - A. I have.
 - Q. I'm going to be referring to that, and I went ahead and marked that as Exhibit 1 here. So if at any point you need to review it.
 - A. If I review anything, I'll have to have my glasses. Okay.
 - Q. All right. In the Supreme Court Opinion of which you were the Respondent, the issue came up about whether your conduct consisted of a search of a view. Is that right?
- 14 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And the Supreme Court in their Opinion held that regarding the threshold question of whether you searched Mr. Gibson's home or whether you viewed it, the Court said we find that she searched it. Is that true?
 - A. That's true.
 - MS. TULLY: Are you saying is it true that that's what the Supreme Court said, or are you asking her if it's true that she searched the home?

 MR. BRYAN: Well, I can ask her both.



- 1 I'm just trying to clarify MS. TULLY: 2 what she saying. 3 THE DEPONENT: I interpreted it as did 4 the Supreme Court find that. 5 BY MR. BRYAN: 6 0. Right. The Supreme Court found that you searched Mr. Gibson's home, right? 7 8 Α. Correct. 9 Do you disagree with that, that you Q. 10 searched Mr. Gibson's home? 11 Α. Yes. 12 The Supreme Court rejected what they called 0.
- your attempt to reframe your conduct. Right?

 A. I disagree with the word "reframe". They
 disagreed with my argument that it was not a
- Q. The West Virginia Supreme Court said in their holding: "We reject the Judge's attempt to
- 19 reframe her conduct." Correct?
- 20 A. I'm sure that's probably what they said.
- Q. Okay. So the Supreme Court used the word "reframe".
- 23 A. They did.

search.

Q. You disagree with the use of that word but



- 1 | they used it.
- 2 A. They used it. I disagree with it.
- Q. The Supreme Court found that you led a
- 4 search of the homeowner's residence, not a judicial
- 5 | view.
- 6 A. That's what they found.
- 7 Q. The West Virginia Supreme Court further
- 8 | found that in so doing, that you "exercised
- 9 executive powers forbidden to you under the West
- 10 | Virginia Constitution". Is that true?
- 11 A. That is what they found.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you disagree with that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. The Court further held in that Opinion that
- 15 you did not go to the property to observe the
- 16 ex-husband's house but that you went there to
- 17 | locate and seize certain of its contents:
- 18 | Pictures, DVDs, and other items of personal
- 19 property. Is that true?
- 20 A. That is true.
- Q. Do you disagree with the Supreme Court's
- 22 holding?
- 23 A. Which holding?
- Q. That you went to the house to locate and



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 seize certain contents personal property in the
 2 house.
- A. I disagree that I went there personally to locate them. I do agree that I went there to seize them.
 - Q. And why do you -- why do you disagree that you went there to locate them?
 - A. Because, as is clear on the tape taken by Officer McPeake, I did not look for nor try to locate anything. I asked Mrs. Gibson where those items that she was not given, as awarded in the order -- where they were located when she lived there. I told her to look there. She asked to look other places. I denied that request.

So I did not attempt to locate anything. The things that she was awarded that were in the same place that they'd been when the couple lived there together, I allowed her to take.

- Q. However, the Supreme Court stated that "the record is clear that Judge Goldston went to the property to locate things, not simply to observe them." Right?
 - A. That is what they found.
 - Q. Okay. That's -- that's what the Supreme



- 1 | Court found but you disagree.
- 2 A. I think I've already answered that but yes.
- 3 | I did not go there to locate them. I went there to
- 4 | allow Mrs. Gibson to retrieve the items she had
- 5 been awarded.
- 6 | Q. Did you --
- 7 A. And Mister -- and only the items that
- 8 Mr. Gibson had previously testified were still
- 9 there.
- 10 Q. Okay. But you -- you didn't know where
- 11 | they were inside his house, did you?
- 12 A. I did not, and I did not look.
- 13 Q. So they -- somebody had to locate them
- 14 inside the house.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. And nobody asked Mr. Gibson to go in
- 17 | his house and bring the items outside.
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You went in, right?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. And the bailiff -- your bailiff went in.
- A. He did.
- 23 O. Mrs. Gibson went in.
- 24 A. She did.



- 1 O. And Mr. Lusk went in.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. To locate the items.
- 4 A. Yes. I would say retrieve the items but --
- 5 Q. In fact, the Supreme Court noted in their
- 6 Opinion that when Mr. Gibson demanded a list of
- 7 | what you were seeking, you replied, "You have a
- 8 list of everything attached to the order."
- And when he professed not to know where
- 10 | some of it's at, you replied, "Well, we're going to
- 11 | find it."
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 Q. Okay. So as the Supreme Court noted, you
- 14 | told Mr. Gibson that you would be going inside his
- 15 house to find items.
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. But you disagree with the categorization of
- 18 | that is a search.
- 19 A. That that is a search by me, yes.
- Q. You would admit that it's a search by
- 21 | somebody.
- 22 A. Again, I told Mrs. Gibson she could look
- 23 only in places where the items she had been awarded
- 24 | were located and that if they were not there she



1 | could not look further.

- Q. So is it your position that the ex-wife,
 Mrs. Gibson, was the one performing the search?
- 4 A. Again, I disagree --
- 5 MS. TULLY: Objection. Asked and
- 6 answered.
- 7 MR. BRYAN: Well, I don't know that
- 8 that specific question was answered. I'm just
- 9 trying to clarify.
- 10 BY MR. BRYAN:
- 11 Q. Do you -- do you deny -- you don't --
- 12 | correct me if I'm wrong, if I misunderstand your
- 13 position. You don't deny that a search took place.
- 14 You just deny that you performed the search.
- 15 A. I would not --
- MS. TULLY: Again, asked and answered.
- 17 A. And again, I would not -- I would not
- 18 | characterize it as a search.
- 19 Q. By anybody.
- 20 A. By anybody.
- 21 Q. The West Virginia Supreme Court held in
- 22 | their Opinion that: "Judge Goldston admits that
- 23 | she had a 20-year practice of going to parties'
- 24 | homes 'to either determine if certain disputed



- 1 marital property was present and/or to supervise 2 the transfer of disputed property.'" Is that 3 right? 4 Can you read that for me one more time? Α. 5 I'm sorry. 6 MS. TULLY: Do you want to show her 7 the Supreme Court order --8 MR. BRYAN: It's on -- yeah. 9 MS. TULLY: -- and you can reference 10 the page numbers? 11 MR. BRYAN: It's on Page 4. I'll show you Exhibit 1, if you want to review or open it to 12 13 Page 4. 14 BY MR. BRYAN: 15 What am I looking for? Α. I'm sorry. 16 Q. All right. Let me rephrase the question. 17 The West Virginia Supreme Court stated in their 18 Opinion that you --19 I'm sorry. Strike that. MR. BRYAN: 20 I'm on my wrong question here.
- Q. The West Virginia Supreme Court held -- I'm sorry. This is Page 2. I apologize. I'm butchering that. The bottom of Page 2.

 The Court held that: "Judge Goldston



16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 admits that she had a 20-year practice of going to 2 parties' homes 'to either determine if certain 3 disputed marital property was present and/or to 4 supervise the transfer of disputed property.'" 5
 - Α. I agree that's what's they found.
- 6 0. Okay. Do you disagree that that is 7 correct, that that is accurate?
- 8 I would -- I would dispute that I had a 9 20-year practice of doing that.
 - Q. Well, where did that fact come from?
- 11 It probably came from my statement in which 12 I said that I had done it on several occasions, 13 probably over 20 years. But as far as a 20-year 14 practice of going to homes, the 10 or 11 times I 15 did that over 20 years, to me, is not a 20-year
 - 0. Okay. The Supreme Court also stated in their Opinion that you said to Mr. Gibson, "Let me in the house or he [the bailiff] is going to arrest you for being in direct contempt of court."
 - Α. Can you tell me where that is?
- 22 Q. Page 4.

practice.

23 Α. They quote that. That is not my memory of 24 what I said.



1	My memory of what I said was: "Mr. Gibson,
2	I am directing you to let me in the house so that
3	Mrs. Gibson can retrieve her property. If you do
4	not do that, you will be in direct contempt of a
5	court order for which you can be arrested."
6	And I believe that's a correct statement of
7	the law.
8	Q. Okay. So you told Mr. Gibson to let you in
9	the house or he would be arrested for being in
10	direct contempt of court?
11	A. That's a summarization of what I just said.
12	It is not exactly what I said. But the gist of it,
13	yes.
14	Q. Okay. And it's your testimony that the
15	the quote of you contained in the Supreme Court
16	Opinion at Page 4 is inaccurate?
17	A. It's not my memory of my exact words.
18	Q. Well, do you have any idea where they would
19	have obtained that in the record?
20	A. No, unless it came from my statement given
21	to the JDC.

The Court also noted - this is also on Page

4 - that you agreed - you agreed - that Mr. Gibson

felt that he had no choice but to let you and the



Q.

22

23

1 others inside his house. Is that true?

- A. Can you show me where that is?
- MS. TULLY: Where does it say that?
- 4 THE DEPONENT: Right here.
- 5 A. I would agree that he probably felt he had 6 no choice, unless he wanted to be arrested.
- 7 Q. Also referring to Page 4, the Court noted
- 8 that you brought with you into Mr. Gibson's house
- 9 | "the ex-wife, the ex-wife's attorney, and
- 10 personally supervised the search for and recovery
- 11 of items." Is that true?
- 12 A. That's true what they said. Again, I
- 13 disagree with the word "search".
- 14 Q. Also on Page 4, the Court noted that:
- 15 | "Several items were located and recovered,
- 16 | including photographs, yearbooks, DVDs, recipes,
- 17 and a chainsaw." Is that true?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And the Court noted that you "made no
- 20 arrangements to record what went on inside the home
- 21 or outside the home." Is that true?
- 22 A. That is true. Can I speak to that?
- 23 0. Sure.
- 24 A. The Supreme Court talks about, in this



14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

scene.

1 Opinion, that I did not take a court reporter with

2 me. I do not have a court reporter. That's why it

3 | has always been my practice, and Rule 8 of the West

Virginia Rules of Practice and Procedure for Family

5 | Courts specifically states that I am the only one

6 who has the authority to film that -- to record

7 those proceedings.

So that -- that is why when we returned to
the home -- to the courtroom, I made every effort
to set forth everything that happened at the house
and gave both Mr. Gibson and Mr. Lusk an
opportunity to add to, detract from, or correct
anything that I said that had happened at the

But I have no way to record those proceedings.

- Q. How do you usually record proceedings?
- A. With a computer.
- 19 Q. And that takes place in your courtroom?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Other than these so-called visits over the course of your 20 years as a family court judge, did you ever have proceedings anywhere else, other than the courtroom or inside a litigant's home?



1	A. Yes and no. There have been times when
2	repairs or renovations to courtrooms were being
3	made and I had them in jury rooms or conference
4	rooms or that kind of thing. Those all occurred
5	prior to my recording things on by video and
5	then recording. Those were back in the days when I
7	did it on cassette tape.

- Q. In fact, the Court noted in the Opinion that your bailiff had made his own cellphone recording inside Mr. Gibson's home.
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. Were you aware of that at the time that
 Deputy McPeake was filming with his cellphone?
- 14 A. No.

9

- 15 Q. When did you first find out about that?
- A. When I got back to the office, he sent it to me on my phone.
- 18 Q. So he provided that directly to you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. So when he testified that he did not provide that directly to you, that was incorrect?
- 22 A. He was mistaken.
- Q. And when he sent you that video, what did you do?



- A. I sent it immediately to my case
 coordinator and I deleted it from my phone.
- Q. But you never realized, at the time at Mr. Gibson's house, that Deputy McPeake was recording?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. And you didn't ask him to record at the house?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Had he been with you on prior visits to litigants' homes?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. So that was the first for McPeake?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. So the Supreme Court Opinion was accurate
 when it stated that you believed that McPeake
 "making the recording was improper and that you
 told him not to do it again"?
- A. Yes. I have since reviewed Rule 8 and do
 now realize that I have the authority to authorize
 somebody to record it but I did not realize that at
 the time.
- Q. Do you still believe that Rule 8, or any other rule, authorizes you told proceedings in the



5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

24

1 | home of a litigant?

- A. I don't think Rule 8 does, no.
- Q. Do you believe that anything authorizes you to hold a proceeding in the home of a litigant?
 - A. I think there's a statute that allows me to seize property that has not been turned over.
 - Q. Allows you to personally seize property?
 - A. It doesn't say anybody else. It says I have the authority to seize.
- 10 Q. And what statute is that?
- THE DEPONENT: You don't have my book
- 12 | with you, do you?
- 13 A. \$51-2A-9(b)
 - Q. So it says you have the authority to seize and you take that to mean that -- that that could authorize you to personally make the seizure?
- 17 A. It doesn't say I can't.
- 18 Q. So you wouldn't take that to mean that you 19 could order law enforcement to seize property?
- 20 A. It doesn't say I can't do it either way.
- 21 It says: "Sanctions may include, but are not
- 22 limited to, seizure or impoundment of property to
- 23 secure compliance with a prior order."
 - Q. With that in mind, let's turn back to the



1 | Supreme Court Opinion.

The Supreme Court Opinion said that searches are an activity of the executive department. Is that true?

- A. That's what it says.
- Q. Okay. Do you disagree?
- 7 | A. No.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

- Q. The Court noted that: "Indeed, searches are quintessentially executive in nature that even a judge who participates in one acts not as a judicial officer but as an adjunct law enforcement officer."
- A. Again, as -- I was acting as a judge and I did not search.
 - Q. Okay. But the Supreme Court says that if you participate in a search, you're acting as an adjunct law enforcement officer.
- 18 A. Correct. I was not acting in a search.
 - Q. Okay. So if you believe that a statute authorizes you to seize items, you would agree with me that that does not include you personally participating in a search and seizure.
- A. Not in a search, no. In a seizure, it's silent on that issue.



1	Q. Okay. So you would agree that a judge
2	shall not participate in a search but you think
3	possibly could personally participate in a seizure?
4	A. I don't know of a statute that doesn't
5	allow me to do that as a judge.
6	Q. Okay. So you don't believe that the
7	seizure portion of a search and seizure is an
8	executive action?
9	A. No, and I don't believe that search and
10	seizures go hand-in-hand necessarily every time.
11	Q. Okay. The Supreme Court further held that:
12	"Because Judge Goldston plainly engaged in a
13	search, we find that the so-called 'view' was
14	improper." Is that true?
15	A. That's what they found.
16	Q. Do you disagree with that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And why do you disagree with that?
19	A. Because I didn't do a search.
20	Q. I'll show you what has been marked as
21	Exhibit 2 here.
22	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 2
23	(Public Admonishment of the Honorable
24	Eric Shuck, Judge of the 13th Family



1	Court Circuit was marked for
2	identification purposes as Goldston
3	Exhibit No. 2.)
4	Q. That is a written admonishment of Judge
5	Shuck. Have you reviewed that before?
6	A. A long time ago. I'm fairly familiar with
7	it.
8	Q. On Page 2 of that document, the Shuck
9	admonishment, it notes that on July 24th, 2020, the
10	Judicial Disciplinary Council took Judge Shuck's
11	sworn statement during which "he opined that he
12	believed it was proper to visit litigants' homes
13	because a colleague had engaged in the same
14	practice for several years."
15	Have you have you see where that was
16	written in that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Do you know who the colleague was
19	that Judge Shuck was referring to?
20	MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for
21	speculation. Answer, if you know.
22	A. I don't I can't I wasn't inside Judge
23	Shuck's mind.
24	Q. Okay. Well, did you agree with me that



- 1 it says that he thought he could do it because a
 2 colleague had been doing it.
 - A. That's what it says, yes.
- 4 Q. And Shuck was a family court judge.
- 5 A. Did you say Shuck?
- 6 Q. Judge Shuck was a family --
- 7 A. I thought you said Chuck. I'm sorry. He
- 8 | was a family court judge.
- 9 Q. And you are a family court judge.
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. So you were a colleague of his at the time
- 12 he was admonished.
- 13 A. I was.
- 14 Q. And you were engaging in the same behavior.
- MS. TULLY: Objection.
- 16 A. I was conducting home visits.
- 17 Q. Okay. So he was --
- 18 A. I had conducted them.
- 19 Q. He was admonished for conducting what you
- 20 call these home visits.
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. He said that he thought he could do it
- 23 | because a colleague of his had been doing it for
- 24 | many years.



- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And my question to you is: Who was he referring to, if you know?
- 4 MS. TULLY: Objection.
- 5 A. I do not know.
- 6 Q. You don't know if he was referring to you?
- 7 A. I do not know.
 - Q. All right. Take a look at the footnote. I believe there's a footnote on Page 2 in the sentence I was just reading to you.
- 11 | Can I see it real quick?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.

9

- Q. Footnote 2 on Page 2 of the Shuck
 admonishment says that the colleague that Shuck was
 referring to, "who is also the subject of a
 judicial disciplinary proceeding, recently engaged
 in a visit to a litigant's ex-husband's home to
 search for marital property that had been the focus
- of a contempt proceeding."
- Does that indicate to you that you were the colleague referenced in the Shuck admonishment?
- MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for
- 23 | speculation.
- A. Again, as I was painfully told many times,



4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- judicial investigations are confidential. I do not
 know all the judges that may or may not have been
 - Q. You would agree with me that it's referencing you in the document.

under investigation at the time.

- A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. You don't know. All right. Well, let me 8 read some more.
 - A. I will tell you that under -- I mean, I'm not trying to argue with you. I will tell you, at the time, I wasn't under an investigation. If that's referring to me, I don't know it.
 - Q. Okay. I mean, it sounds like this case.

"The ex-husband was not represented by a lawyer and was not advised of the purpose of the visit prior to the judge, his ex-wife, and her lawyer going to the home. Once there, the ex-husband moved to disqualify the judge but was told the motion was not timely and would have to be submitted in writing."

That's this case, right?

- A. Again, the facts are similar. I do not know.
 - Q. You're not aware of any other family court



judge who is under a -- subject to a judicial 1 2 disciplinary proceeding for visiting litigants' 3 homes, do you? 4 Α. They're confidential. I don't know of them 5 -- of any. 6 So you don't -- you have no idea whether or ο. not this Shuck admonishment is referring to you as 7 8 the colleague? 9 MS. TULLY: Objection. This has been asked and answered now five different times. 10 11 All right. MR. BRYAN: 12 MS. TULLY: Her answer is not 13 changing. 14 MR. BRYAN: Your objection is noted. 15 BY MR. BRYAN: Just for the record, you have no idea if 16 Q. 17 this is referring to you? 18 Α. I cannot confirm nor deny. 19 Did you ever have any conversations with 0. 20 Judge Shuck about visiting the homes of litigants? 21 Α. No.

Prior to the -- prior to either of us

No.

Q.

Α.

going?

Never?

22

23

5

8

9

- 1 Q. At any time.
- A. Once his admonishment came out we may have had a conversation.
 - Q. And what did you discuss?
 - A. How unfair we thought it was.
- Q. And he didn't mention to you bringing you up during his statement?
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. Do you know how Judge Shuck would've known that you had engaged in that practice previously?
- A. It wasn't a secret. As far as he and I
 ever talking about it as a remedy, we did not, to
 my knowledge.
- 14 Q. And why wasn't it a secret?
- 15 A. Because I did it.
- 16 Q. Over the course of 20 years.
- A. And I just want the record clear. I've been serving 28 years. Probably did not do it until I was a family court judge, so that would have been about 20, 22 years.
- And again, over that course I probably did 22 it 11 or 12 times.
- Q. Do you recall how many of those approximate
 11 or 12 times involved Kyle Lusk as one of the



1	lawyers?
---	----------

- 2 A. Zero.
- Q. Do you recall who any of the other lawyers were who went on these visits with you?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And who were they?
- 7 A. I don't remember them all. The ones I can
- 8 | remember are Buck Byron, Thomas Evans, Tim
- 9 Lupardus. Those are all the -- the only ones I can
- 10 remember offhand. I'm not saying at all that
- 11 | that's an exhaustive list.
- 12 Q. Was -- do you remember -- was there always
- 13 a bailiff with you when you did these?
- 14 A. Always.
- Q. Do you remember who any of the other
- 16 | bailiffs are, other than McPeake, who went with you
- 17 on these home visits?
- 18 A. The great majority of them were Bobby
- 19 Stump. Dave Stafford went with me. Aaron Lilly
- 20 | went with me.
- 21 0. So that would be Lieutenant Stafford?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And Greg Lilly would be --
- 24 A. Not Greg Lilly. Aaron Lilly.



- Q. Aaron Lilly. Is that Sergeant Lilly?
- 2 A. Sergeant Lilly. He is Judge McGraw's main
- 3 | bailiff. Jimmy Miller may have but I'm not sure of
- 4 that.
- Q. And did ever have any conversations with
- 6 these bailiffs about --
- 7 (Office door buzzer rings.)
- 8 A. Is that all right?
- 9 MR. ROBINSON: Yeah. Somebody should
- 10 be here to answer that but it should be fine.
- 11 THE DEPONENT: Okay.
- 12 BY MR. BRYAN:
- Q. Did you ever have any conversations with
- 14 | these bailiffs about doing these so-called home
- 15 | views?
- 16 A. In what regard?
- Q. I mean, in any regard. Did you give them
- 18 any rules or policies or procedures to follow when
- 19 | you went to the home of a litigant?
- 20 A. It's hard to explain a relationship between
- 21 a judge and a bailiff. After any period of time,
- 22 | they are my right hand and they know what I expect.
- 23 So did I give them any specific
- 24 | conversations? If we were there and I wanted them



1 to do something, I instructed them to do that. 2 as far as visits themselves, I will tell you I had a conversation with Sheriff VanMeter because one of 3 4 my bailiffs was forced to leave and they had to 5 hire, who turned out to be Jeff McPeake because 6 they didn't have enough manpower. 7 And when -- and I was concerned that he 8 would have arrest powers and access to a car and 9 Sheriff VanMeter said, "Why does he need a car?" And I said, "On occasion, I will go to a 10 11 home to" -- I'm not sure of the exact words, but to help execute transfer of property. 12 13 And he said, "I will make sure he has a 14 car." 15 But now Sheriff VanMeter never went with 16 me. 17 Q. Do you recall when that conversation took 18 place? 19 Immediately prior to the hiring of Jeff Α. 20 McPeake. 21 0. So when was that? 22 It was September -- what's this year -- '22 Α. 23 -- of '19, I believe. 24 So that's something that took place prior



- 1 to the incident at Mr. Gibson's home?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. So the sheriff was aware that you had this practice of visiting the homes of litigants.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. It sounds like two different supervisors,
 sergeant Lilly and Lieutenant Stafford of the
 sheriff's department, were both aware that you had
 this practice of visiting litigants' homes.
- 10 A. Yes, except I would not characterize
 11 Sergeant Lilly as a supervisor.
- 12 Q. Okay. Well, if --
 - A. The head bailiff was Lieutenant Stafford.
- Q. Okay. And if Deputy McPeake characterized
 Sergeant Lilly as somebody he went to similar to a
 supervisor, you --
- 17 A. I think he did that when Lieutenant
 18 Stafford was not available because he outranked
 19 him.
- Q. Okay. So it's your understanding that
 Stafford really was the supervisor for your
 bailiff.
- A. He's the head bailiff of the judicial annex. Yes.



- Q. And Stafford, himself, had been on one of these trips with you before?
 - A. At least one, yes.
- 4 Q. Possibly multiple.
 - A. Possibly but I think just one.
- Q. Did any of them question to you whether
 perhaps this is appropriate --
- 8 A. No.

5

- Q. -- for you to be traveling to the homes?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. So how does it work? I mean, who -- when
- 12 | you have a bailiff who presumably is the one
- 13 driving you to these places and, as you mentioned,
- 14 has arrest powers, who does the bailiff take orders
- 15 from: You or Lieutenant Stafford or some other
- 16 | supervisor on the sheriff's department?
- 17 A. When we are on the scene, me.
- 18 Q. Does -- is it your understanding that your
- 19 bailiff on the scene -- that your bailiff -- would
- 20 he have the ability to not follow one of your
- 21 orders?
- 22 A. You'd have to ask the bailiff that.
- 23 Q. Did you have any sort of written policies
- 24 or rules that you provided to your bailiffs?



1 A. No.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

truck.

- Q. It would have been all verbal?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. On any of these visits, including this one,
 did your bailiffs ever do anything above and beyond
 just ensuring your safety?
 - A. They may, on occasion, have -- and I'm thinking specifically of Lieutenant Stafford at this time -- the home that he went with me, there were, what I called, junk car parts there, and one of them was heavy and the man who was retrieving -- we were retrieving the car parts for, one of them was a transmission maybe. Something that's heavy. And Lieutenant Stafford actually helped this man's brother pick up the transmission, or whatever it was, and put it in the car -- in the back of the
 - Q. Do you remember about when that was?
- A. No. It would've been after -- I'm fairly
 certain it would've been after Sergeant Stump left
 me.
- Q. Do you recall when that was?
- 23 A. 2016-ish, '17-ish.
- Q. And how long --



- A. Shortly after VanMeter was elected.
- Q. How long was Sergeant Stump with you as a bailiff?
- 4 A. 10 to 11 years.
- Q. Where did you first get the idea to do one of these visits to a litigant's home?
- 7 A. Mr. Byron was in a case, and it was the 8 first time I ever did this.
 - Q. And that's a lawyer?
- 10 A. That's a lawyer. A very good lawyer. He's
 11 retired now. And I can't for the life of me
 12 remember the other lawyer on the other side, and I
 13 think it was before Officer Stump worked for me but
 14 it may not have been.
- There was a piece of jewelry that had been handed down to this lady for -- it had great sentimental value to her, and she told me she knew exactly where it was.
- And Mr. Byron said, "Can we go look for it?"
- 21 And I said, "Do I have the authority to do 22 that, Mr. Byron?"
- The other lawyer said, "Judge, you do and let's just go look." And so we went and looked.



- 1 Q. And that was your -- that was the first
 2 time?
- 3 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- Q. And prior to that you had never participated in anything like that as a lawyer?
- 6 A. As a lawyer?
- 7 O. Yes.
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. So, essentially, at the very beginning, it 10 was Mr. Byron's idea?
- 11 A. Correct. And he indicated, when he said I
 12 have the authority, that other family law masters
 13 that he had appeared before had done that. So I
 14 may have been a family law master at the time
 15 instead of a -- that's all blurry to me.
- Q. As we sit here today, are you aware of any other family court judges, other than Judge Shuck, who have visited the homes -- home of a litigant?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Who else?
- A. Judge Clark. I'll be honest with you, at our last conference I had probably six or seven come and tell me they had done it. I don't remember their names.



- Q. And when you say conference, you mean the Family Court Judges Association?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And when was that conference?
- A. I'm trying to think if it was the spring or the fall. It must have been the spring of '21.
- 7 Q. And was that in Morgantown?
- 8 A. It was.
- 9 Q. Okay. So multiple family court judges came
 10 up to you and admitted to engaging in the same
 11 behavior?
- 12 A. I disagree with the term "admitted" but
 13 told me that they had done similar things, and they
 14 thought I had the authority to do it.
- Q. And how many -- how many judges did you say?
- 17 A. I'd say six or seven.
- Q. And you don't recall the name of even one of them?
- 20 A. No. You must remember it was a very
 21 emotional time for me and I was just grateful for
 22 the support I received.
- Q. Did you exchange any e-mail correspondence or text message correspondence with any other



- 1 family court judges about visiting the home of a
 2 litigant?
 - A. Not to my recollection.
- Q. Did any of these six or seven family court judges ever send you any e-mail correspondence?
- 6 A. No.

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. How do you generally communicate with these other family court judges who are also members of this judicial association?
- 10 A. I generally don't communicate with very 11 many. There's very few that I'm close to.
- 12 Q. Did you have --
 - A. And I don't -- that doesn't mean I'm enemies of the other ones. Just naturally in a group of however many of us there are, there are several that I'm very close to. The others I don't generally communicate with.
 - Q. Which ones are you close to?
- A. Close to that I talk to about this, or just generally close to?
- Q. Just as you just referred to them. There are a few of them who you're close to.
- 23 A. Mary Ellen Griffith. Deanna Rock. Dave 24 Greenberg. Jim Douglas. I would say Lera



- 1 VanMeter. And that's probably about it that are
 2 currently serving.
- Q. Out of the judges that you mentioned Griffith, Rock, Greenberg, Douglas, and VanMeter were any of those individuals one of the six or so
 judges who came up to you and said that they had
 done similar things?
- A. No. I don't think so. I -- it's not a hard no but I don't think so.
- Q. Well, you're close to those judges, right?
 Right?
- 12 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- Q. And you would likely remember the name of one of those judges had they been one of the ones who came up to you.
- 16 A. Correct. I can tell you that they were 17 very supportive of what I had done.
- Q. Well, did any family court judge tell you that they -- he or she was not supportive of what you had done?
- A. Family court judges? Not directly. It was
 evident to me from the occurrences at the Family
 Court Conference that Trish Keller didn't think I
 could do what I did.



9

10

11

16

21

22

23

24

- 1 Q. Did you have any conversations with Judge
 2 Stotler?
 - A. At the conference or before?
- Q. Let me -- let me clarify that question.

Have you had any conversations with Judge Stotler about the incident at Mr. Gibson's home?

- A. Not before the judicial board hearing memo 8 came out.
 - Q. Okay. After -- after the Judicial Hearing
 Board hearing, did you have any communications with
 Judge Stotler about the Gibson incident?
- 12 A. We talked generally about it at the conference.
- Q. And this was the same conference that we've been discussing?
 - A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what did Judge Stotler 18 communicate to you?
- 19 A. Mainly, he was glad it was over.
- Q. Anything else?
 - A. He made it clear that he didn't think I had done anything wrong but we both discussed the fact that we didn't think there was anything wrong with us talking about it now because his role on the



1 | Judicial Hearing Board was complete.

- 2 Q. But -- but you hadn't had any
- 3 communications with him prior to the Judicial
- 4 | Hearing Board hearing?
- 5 A. Absolutely not.
- 6 Q. At some point, Judge Stotler sent a letter
- 7 | to the Supreme Court and multiple politicians
- 8 regarding Judicial Disciplinary Counsel. Have you
- 9 | seen that?
- 10 A. I have seen that.
- 11 Q. Were you aware of that letter prior to it
- 12 | being sent?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did you ever have any conversations with
- 15 Judge Stotler about the accusations that he made in
- 16 | the letter?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you -- did any of your communications
- 19 | with Judge Stotler about this matter take place
- 20 prior to that letter being sent?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. So the first time that you talked to Judge
- 23 | Stotler was after he sent that letter?
- 24 A. As far as I know. I'm not real familiar of



1	when he sent it.
2	THE DEPONENT: I hate to ask but could
3	I have a bathroom break?
4	MS. TULLY: I was actually just
5	getting ready to say we've been going about an
6	hour. Can we take a break?
7	MR. BRYAN: Sorry.
8	COURT REPORTER: The time is
9	11:03 a.m. We're off the record.
10	(A short break was taken after which
11	the proceedings continued as follows:)
12	COURT REPORTER: The time is
13	11:18 a.m. We're on the record.
14	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 3
15	(Louise Goldston Judicial Disciplinary
16	Counsel Agreement was marked for
17	identification purposes as Goldston
18	Exhibit No. 3.)
19	BY MR. BRYAN:
20	Q. All right. I'm going to show you what's
21	been marked as Exhibit 3 to this deposition, and
22	that is an agreement.
23	On September 30th of 2020, you signed an
24	agreement with Judicial Disciplinary Counsel in



1	your pending judicial disciplinary case. Is that
2	right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And Exhibit 3, is that a copy of that
5	document?
6	A. It appears to be.
7	Q. And you signed that agreement?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. Pursuant to that agreement, you admitted
10	the allegations of facts set forth in the formal
11	statement of charges against you. Is that right?
12	A. That's correct.
13	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 4
14	(Formal Statement of Charges was
15	marked for identification purposes as
16	Goldston Exhibit No. 4.)
17	Q. And I have that document here as well.
18	That's marked as Exhibit No. 4. That's the formal
19	statement of charges. You've reviewed that before.
20	Correct?
21	A. Oh yes.
22	Q. Okay. So it's true that you admitted the
23	allegations of fact that were set forth against you
24	in that Formal Statement of Charges?



- 1 A. As a result of a negotiated agreement, yes.
 - Q. So, yes, you did agree to the allegations of fact in the Formal Statement of Charges?

4 MS. TULLY: Asked and answered.

5 MR. BRYAN: Well, she qualified her

6 answer, so --

2

3

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. My answer would be the same.
- Q. Okay. So the -- the allegations of fact set forth in the Formal Statement of Charges are true?
- 11 A. Let me look at them real quick. I think
 12 that they are, because they were negotiated and we
 13 worked on them.
 - Yes, they are true and I will -- I would like to note that nowhere in this Formal Statement of Charges, nor in the agreement, does the word "search" appear.
 - Q. But you would agree with me that the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals made it extremely clear that they believe that you conducted a search and not a mere view. Correct?
- MS. TULLY: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 24 A. Correct again.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. That agreement also admitted that by
engaging in such conduct that you had violated
numerous rules of the Code of Judicial Conduct.
Correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you recall what those code of conduct rules are?
- A. I don't. I can tell you that I attempted to sign it without admitting the violations and they would not take the deal without me admitting those and said that I did.
- Q. Are you saying that you didn't voluntarily agree to that agreement?
 - A. I'm saying I voluntarily agreed to it because I was told that if I did not agree to it I would be suspended from the bench, and as a 28-year public servant, I could not afford that, either financially or mentally.
 - Q. Okay. You had -- you had the advice of counsel prior to signing that agreement, right?
 - A. Immediately prior, yes. It was not negotiated with counsel.
- Q. Okay. At the time that you signed it, you had counsel.



- A. I had counsel.
- Q. And the Supreme Court, in their Opinion, mentions the existence of this agreement.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And the Supreme Court accepted the agreement as valid.
- 7 A. Correct.
 - Q. And I don't believe at any point that you or your counsel made the argument to the Supreme Court that your signature on that document was obtained involuntarily.
 - A. I don't think that -- that was our argument. I think our argument was that I now had a clearer understanding of the law after doing extensive research and no longer thought that I had violated those canons, which, admittedly, the Supreme Court rejected.
 - Q. Okay. So, at one time, you admitted that you violated these rules and the Code of Judicial Conduct, and then changed your mind?
 - A. At one time, I signed it, I believe, under duress. Once the Judicial Hearing Board issued questions that we were to answer and I did the research they requested, I came to believe from



- that research that I had not violated the canons
 and I had not committed misconduct, as set forth in
 my argument to the -- to the -- in my questions to
 the hearing board.
 - And it was not until that time, I believe, because the JDC could not find any law to the contrary on the questions that were asked, the issue of search came up for the very first time.
 - Q. Okay. Well, you signed that document in September -- September 30th of 2020, right?
 - A. If you -- I don't doubt that date.
 - Q. Well, let me make sure.
- 13 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And when were the Formal Statement of Charges issued? In other words, how long had they been pending before you signed the agreement?
- A. They were contemporaneously -- I mean, it was -- they -- they particularly wanted one document to come out and the next document to come out either a day or two later. They did not want them to be entered simultaneously.
- So this shows the 23rd of September. So one week later. But I had seen them. I mean, it was all one package deal and they determined how



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

they would be released.

- Q. Okay. It all points, throughout that process -- I mean, you had the ability to not come to an agreement and to proceed forward with your due process, didn't you?
- A. I did. I received a call from Teresa Tarr that she characterized as her -- "This is my 'bully call', and if you do not sign the agreement, I will do my job, I do it well, and you know well that the Commission is seeking your suspension."
- And that was before I had retained counsel.

 And, quite frankly, because I received that call, I

 retained counsel.
 - Q. Are you aware of the fact that the allegations made by Judge Stotler against the Judicial Disciplinary Counsel were investigated by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel for lawyers?
 - A. Can you repeat that? I'm sorry.
 - Q. Are you aware of the fact that the Office of Disciplinary Counsel for lawyers investigated the allegations made by Judge Stotler about the Judicial Disciplinary Counsel?
- 23 A. Yes. I testified.
 - Q. Did you -- did you review the report or



1 ever see the report that --

A. I saw it. I did not read it page-by-page.

was an investigation and a subsequent report by the

- Q. Okay. You're aware of the fact that there
- 5 ODC.

2

- 6 A. Right, and I found it insulting, that it
- 7 took the word over two lawyers over -- took the
- 8 | word of two lawyers over the words of two sworn
- 9 long-serving judges.
- 10 Q. Okay. So the allegations from you about
- 11 | alleged duress in the process, which mirror the
- 12 | allegations made by Judge Stotler, were
- 13 investigated by the ODC and found to be untrue. Is
- 14 | that fair?
- 15 A. That is what they found and, again, I'd
- 16 like to point out the ODC and the JDC share the
- 17 | same office suite.
- 18 | Q. And --
- 19 A. And the same receptionist.
- 20 Q. And why would you like to point that out?
- 21 A. Because I think it stinks.
- 22 Q. Why?
- 23 A. I'm not going to say anything further than
- 24 | that. It's obvious. They share a suite.



- Q. Are you accusing the ODC of being biased or impartial?
- A. I am saying that it has the look, appearance, of impropriety.
- Q. Well, you said that you didn't even read their conclusions though.
- 7 A. I didn't say I didn't read their 8 conclusions. I said I didn't read the report 9 through.
- 10 Q. You read some of it?
- 11 A. I read the conclusions.
- Q. Okay. You read the conclusions but you didn't read the whole report.
- 14 A. Not word-for-word, no.
- Q. Okay. Having read it myself and recalling that it was pretty specific and contained a lot of detail, are you able to, as we sit here today, tell me any -- any of the substance of that report that is untrue or mischaracterizes what happened?
- MS. TULLY: Objection. She's already
 21 said she didn't read -- read it word-for-word. You
 22 can answer, if you know.
- 23 A. I know that they took the words of Brian 24 Lanham and Terri Tarr over the words of Judge



- 1 Stotler and myself, and I find that to be offensive.
- Q. Well, Judge Stotler wasn't involved in this though, was he?
 - A. He was the subject of the Complaint.
- 6 Q. Right. But Judge Stotler was relaying what
- 7 -- what he believed happened to you, right?
- 8 MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for
- 9 | speculation.

- 10 A. I don't know what he testified to.
- 11 Q. Okay. Well, Judge Stotler -- Judge Stotler
- 12 was not involved in the investigation by the JDC
- 13 against you.
- MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for
- 15 speculation.
- 16 Q. Right?
- 17 A. He was not involved in the investigation.
- 18 He sat on the hearing board that heard the --
- 19 Q. Judge Stotler had made complaints about the
- 20 way you were allegedly treated by the JDC.
- 21 A. That's -- yes.
- Q. Okay. How did Judge Stotler have any
- 23 personal knowledge about how you were treated?
- 24 A. From the pleadings.



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

- Q. From the pleadings. You didn't communicate any information to him?
 - A. Again, no.
- Q. So, in other words, he was not a fact witness to any of the events surrounding your investigation by the JDC, right?
- MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for speculation. Answer, if you know.
 - A. I don't understand the question.
 - Q. Let me -- let me rephrase that. You just testified that the only thing the judge knew -- Judge Stotler knew was what he read in your pleadings in your disciplinary case.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Other than what your lawyer drafted, Judge Stotler had no personal information, to your knowledge, about what the JDC did or did not do in your investigation.
- MS. TULLY: Again, calls for 20 speculation.
 - A. He read the pleadings.
 - Q. So if the ODC -- when you say that the ODC is not -- had chosen not to take the words of you and Judge Stotler, really what you're complaining



- 1 about is the ODC not -- just not believing you. 2 MS. TULLY: Objection. 3 Α. Let me just say that Judge Stotler knows me 4 and knows my reputation and my character, and would 5 have absolutely no reason to doubt what I had put 6 in the pleadings. 7 So Judge Stotler believed you but the ODC 8 did not believe you. 9 MS. TULLY: Objection. 10 Α. Again, I don't know. 11 Well, the only reason I bring it up is 0.
 - Q. Well, the only reason I bring it up is because you said that they chose not to believe two family court judges, and my point is it's really only one family court judge and that's you.
 - A. I'm not going to argue with you.
- Q. But I'm not wrong, am I?
- MS. TULLY: We've been through this.
- 18 | She's answered your questions.
- Q. At some point, there was a hearing before the Judicial Hearing Board, right?
- 21 A. In my case?
- 22 Q. In your case.
- 23 A. Yes.

13

14

15

Q. And at that time, Judge Stotler was serving



- 1 as a member of the Judicial Hearing Board.
- 2 A. Yes.

- Q. And at the time of that hearing, you and he were already friends. Is that true?
- A. Acquaintances, yes. We're fellow family court judges.
- Q. But wasn't he one of the list of judges that you said you were close to --
 - A. I don't think so.
- 10 Q. -- or was he not? Okay. Did you know him 11 before?
- 12 A. Yeah, sure.
- Q. Okay. During the Judicial Hearing Board hearing on January 15th, 2021, you provided
- 15 testimony. Is that true?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And during that hearing, the agreement which we've marked as an exhibit was admitted
 into evidence, right?
- 20 A. As far as I can remember, yes.
- Q. And during that hearing, you were questioned about whether or not you signed that
- 23 | agreement. Do you recall that?
- 24 A. Yes.



1	Q. Do you recall being asked when you signed
2	that agreement: "Did you sign it knowingly,
3	voluntarily, and intelligently?"
4	Do you recall being asked that?
5	A. Not specifically but I'm sure I was.
6	MR. BRYAN: Let me go ahead and have
7	this marked, please. I think we're on 5.
8	COURT REPORTER: 5
9	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 5
10	(Transcript of Judicial Board Hearing
11	of Louise Goldston dated January 15,
12	2021 was marked for identification
13	purposes as Goldston Exhibit No. 5.)
14	Q. All right. I'm going to I'm going to
15	show you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 5 to
16	this deposition. Let me direct your attention to
17	Page 7 specifically. Take all the time you would
18	like.
19	A. Where on Page 7?
20	Q. Towards the top. So let me ask the
21	question again. Hopefully, that will refresh your
22	recollection.
23	Do you recall being asked whether your
24	signature on this agreement was knowing and



1	voluntary?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And what was your answer
4	A. Yes.
5	Q during that hearing?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether that testimony
8	was taken under oath?
9	A. To my knowledge, it was. Yes.
10	Q. And was your testimony truthful that day?
11	A. Yes.
12	MS. TULLY: She's not denied that this
13	is her signature on the agreement.
14	MR. BRYAN: Right. But she's denied
15	that she says she was coerced and she testified
16	during that hearing that she voluntarily entered
17	that agreement, knowingly.
18	A. With the knowledge that I had at the time,
19	yes.
20	BY MR. BRYAN:
21	Q. Okay. So at the time you entered the
22	agreement, you did so knowingly, voluntarily, and
23	intelligently, right?
24	MS. TULLY: Objection. Asked and



1 answered.

2

9

20

21

22

23

- Q. But later changed your mind.
- A. I didn't change my mind. I learned more
 about the law and realized that some of those
 canons I do not believe were violated.
- Q. Of course, the Supreme Court rejected your
- 8 MS. TULLY: Objection.
 - Q. -- your belief, right?
- 10 A. Obviously.
- Q. Okay. So rather than saying you were coerced, wouldn't it be more accurate to say that you had regret?
- A. I think it would be more accurate to say that I think I made a mistake.
- Q. As we sit here today, do you believe that you made any mistakes on March 4th, 2020 when you visited Mr. Gibson's home?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. And what -- what mistakes did you make?
 - A. One mistake I think I made was I should have informed Mr. Gibson before we left, while we were going to his house. I could not imagine at the time that he did not know why we were going to



1 the house, in that we were talking about stuff that 2 he testified under oath were still at the house. But if I had to do it again, I would say, 3 4 "We are going to your house to get those items." 5 And, quite frankly, I would have made it 6 more clear to him that I was not doing it 7 punitively to him, but I did not want to put 8 Mr. Gibson in jail for not returning those items. 9 He's a corrections officer. I did not 10 think he would be treated well if he went to jail, 11 and in my mind, if we could just go get those items 12 that he admitted were there, that he admitted she 13 was awarded, then that would solve everybody's 14 problem. 15 He would not be able to say that Ms. Gibson destroyed the items after she got them. 16 Ms. Gibson 17 would not then be able to say that he destroyed or 18 he damaged the items after we retrieved them. 19 was the -- in my mind, it was the fairest, most 20 efficient way to resolve the case. 21 So what was your mistake? 0. 22 Not setting forth that clearly on the Α. 23 record.



0.

Is that it?

- A. My mistake? That's all I can think of. I think -- well, I'm not going to volunteer.
 - Q. No, that's okay. What?
 - A. I think if I'd had a more experienced bailiff -- I can think of another mistake I made.

 If I'd had a more experienced bailiff that had done this with me before, that that bailiff would not have called for backup.

I had not known Deputy McPeake had called for backup. I knew he had said something on the radio. I always kind of assume they're saying they're out of their vehicle or whatever.

The other mistake I made was when I arrived there -- and I'm not saying he did it intentionally but Mr. Gibson immediately came toward me, making his motions, which he certainly was entitled to do but it rattled me a little bit and I did not notice all the other cars that were there.

And had I had the chance to get my bearings, I would've had all those cars leave and all those people leave because, as you know, Family Court hearings are confidential, no one is allowed in the hearing except the parties and any witnesses. None of those other people had been



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 called as witnesses.

I did tell Ms. Gibson as we were leaving, if she had a vehicle that she did not believe she could fit the items that Mr. Gibson had admitted were there that her father could come for the sole purpose of hauling the items, but I would've immediately had my bailiff clear out all the other people because my experience is the more people you have there, the more dangerous and out of hand it can get.

But I did not do that because I was immediately confronted with all these other motions, which I was happy to rule on but it did not give me the time I needed to assess the situation and do the safety things I normally -- or my bailiff normally would have done.

- Q. You would agree with me that your physical safety was never in jeopardy at any point at Mr. Gibson's house.
- A. I did not feel threatened. No. But as far as speculating what could've happened, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Gibson never threatened you in any way, did he?



5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

24

- A. No. As I said, he approached me quickly
 when I got out of the vehicle and that rattled me.

 Did it scare me? No.
 - Q. And, to the contrary, you threatened
 Mr. Gibson with arrest, even though you knew he was
 a federal correctional officer.

7 MS. TULLY: Object to form.

- A. Again, I did not just threaten him with arrest. I told him that I was instructing him to let us in the house so that we could retrieve the items and that that was an order of the Court. If he refused to do that, he would be held in contempt and one of the remedies for direct contempt of a court order is arrest.
- Q. And Deputy McPeake was present as your bailiff when you made these statements to Mr. Gibson.
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you were here when he testified a few days ago during his deposition.
 - A. I was.
- Q. Okay. And I believe that he testified that he heard you threaten to arrest Mr. Gibson.
 - A. I just said that I -- what I said, which I



1 am sure can be perceived as a threat.

- Q. And had you ordered the arrest of Mr. Gibson, it would've been Deputy McPeake that made the arrest, right?
 - A. I assume so, yes.
- Q. And, in fact, you wanted to make sure that bailiffs who traveled with you to the home -- homes of litigants had arrest powers.
- A. That's a misstatement. When I asked -because Deputy McPeake is a retired bailiff and
 came in under this statute, they had been supplying
 me with officers who were not certified.

I asked -- one of my requirements, as I am entitled under the code, is to have a deputy with arrest powers. I have never arrested anybody at a scene. I have had people arrested in the courtroom or outside the courtroom for direct contempt of court. So I wanted a bailiff that if the courtroom got out of control, that person could effect an arrest. The two requests were not related.

- Q. At some point in Mr. Gibson's front yard, did you threaten to arrest any other third party, other than Mr. Gibson?
 - A. Not to my memory, and I know what you're



- 1 talking about. Mr. Lusk pointed out to me that
- 2 | Mister -- and I didn't know she was his girlfriend
- 3 -- that there was a woman at the top of the
- 4 driveway recording.
- 5 My memory is I said, "Stop recording.
- 6 You're not allowed to record."
- 7 I do not believe I threatened to arrest
- 8 her.
- 9 Q. She was at the top of Mr. Gibson's
- 10 | driveway.
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And you're aware that that was somebody who
- 13 was with Mr. Gibson.
- 14 A. I assume so. I had never laid eyes on her
- 15 before.
- 16 Q. All right. Let me play some audio.
- 17 MR. BRYAN: Which I have some
- 18 electronic exhibits on this thumb drive and I'll
- 19 provide that to the court reporter.
- MS. TULLY: Okay.
- 21 Q. I think this would be Exhibit 6. If I
- 22 | click the right button here, this would be, I
- 23 | believe, the audio recorded by Mr. Gibson
- 24 personally.



1	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 6
2	(Audio Recording Recorded by Plaintiff
3	Matthew Gibson was marked for
4	identification purposes as Goldston
5	Exhibit No. 6.)
6	MR. BRYAN: I'm going to fast-forward
7	it some towards the end.
8	(An excerpt of exhibit 6 was played.)
9	BY MR. BRYAN:
10	Q. All right. Could you hear that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. All right. And so you threatened somebody
13	with being taken to jail if they don't turn off
14	their phones and their recordings, right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And then you can hear somebody respond.
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Is that the individual you were just
19	talking about
20	A. I don't know.
21	Q standing at the top of the driveway?
22	A. I don't know.
23	Q. Okay. That wasn't Mr. Gibson you were
24	



- A. No, because he was not recording it
 visually, so far as I knew. I do know that he told
 Mr. Lusk he had turned it off.
- When I told him to give it to Mister -- to

 Officer McPeake, I said -- and Mr. Gibson says,

 "Yes. It's off."
- When he gave it to Deputy McPeake, I said,

 "Is it off?" and it, in fact, was not. In fact,

 you can hear Mr. Gibson talking after he gave it to

 Officer McPeake.
- 11 Q. Okay. So --
- 12 A. And that's why I thought it was important
 13 for Mr. McPeake to keep it, because he had kept
 14 recording after -- not only after I'd told him not
 15 to but after he said he had.
 - Q. Okay. So the recording that we were -part of what we just listened to, that was the
 recording that Matt Gibson was taking off of his
 phone, the audio?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And --

17

18

19

- 22 A. So far as I know. I am not an audio 23 person.
 - Q. And this conversation took place in



- 1 Mr. Gibson's front yard?
- 2 A. At the gazebo, as I recall.
- Q. Okay. Which is on Mr. Gibson's property,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. And during that conversation, Mr. Lusk
- 7 | points out that Matt Gibson was recording with his
- 8 | phone.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. And you told him to stop recording, right?
- 11 A. And he did not.
- 12 Q. And then you threatened him with being
- 13 | arrested by your bailiff if he did not, right?
- 14 A. That's not my memory.
- 15 Q. Or did you --
- 16 A. I said -- I said, "Give the phone to the
- 17 | bailiff, " and then I asked the bailiff, "Is it
- 18 | still recording, " because he had told me he had
- 19 turned it off.
- 20 And Officer McPeake said, "It is still
- 21 recording."
- I said, "Turn it off."
- 23 Q. You instructed a bailiff to seize
- 24 Mr. Gibson's cellphone to stop it from recording.



1 A. Yes.

5

10

Q. And then --

continuation of my hearing.

- A. Because Rule 8 does not allow recordings of court hearings, other than done by me.
 - Q. But this is on Mr. Gibson's property.
- A. Right, which was a continuation of a hearing, as is evidenced that he referred to me as Judge during the hearing, he made motions to me during the hearing which I ruled on. So it was the
- Q. Okay. And then you -- you told other third parties who were on Mr. Gibson's property to stop recording or else go to jail, right?
- A. Yes, and they should have not been there.

 They were not allowed to be in a hearing.
- Q. Okay. But you -- this was Mr. Gibson's home.
- 18 A. It was.
- 19 Q. Right. And this was not in a courtroom.
- 20 A. It was not.
- 21 0. Let's continue.
- (An excerpt of Exhibit 6 was played.)
- 23 BY MR. BRYAN:
- Q. So we can hear on the recording, standing



- 1 in Mr. Gibson's yard, you threaten to arrest
- 2 Mr. Gibson if he didn't let you in his house.
- A. For being in direct contempt of a court
- 4 order. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Well, regardless of what you say the
- 6 reason was, you said -- you threatened Mr. Gibson
- 7 | with being arrested by your bailiff if he did not
- 8 | let you in his house, right?
- 9 A. Yes, but again, saying "regardless of the
- 10 reason" is silly.
- 11 Q. Okay. Well, he hadn't been found in
- 12 | contempt at that point, had he?
- 13 A. He would have been found in direct contempt
- 14 | had he not let me in the house.
- 15 Q. Okay. Well, he hadn't even -- he hadn't
- 16 been found in contempt yet because he hadn't even
- 17 | had an opportunity to tell his side of the story,
- 18 | did he?
- 19 A. He testified, and he, in fact, testified
- 20 | that the items that we went to get were, in fact,
- 21 | in the house.
- 22 So did I find him in contempt before I
- 23 | left? No.
- Did he admit it under oath? Yes.



2

3

4

5

19

24

Could anybody who listened to that tape determine that he was in contempt? Yes.

- Q. Looking back at the West Virginia Supreme Court Opinion, and this is referencing Page 21, if you want to verify this.
- The Supreme Court noted in their Opinion,
 again on Page 21, that you forced your "way into
 the ex-husband's home over his reasonable
 objections by threatening to jail him for
 contempt.
- She said, 'I am the judge trying to effect equitable distribution. We're having a hearing.

 Now, you let me in that house or [the bailiff] is
- going to arrest you for being in direct contempt of court.'" Is that true?
- 16 A. That's what it says. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, that's what we can hear on the audio as well, right?
 - A. The quote, yes.
- Q. Okay. And just following that, the Supreme
 Court says that: "Judge Goldston's misconduct
 displayed a callous disregard for our system of
 justice." Did you read that?
 - A. Can you say that again? Oh. It does say



that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

- Q. And the Court said that: "Even setting aside the inappropriateness of the search, Judge Goldston went about the search in a highhanded and procedurally flawed manner."
- A. I see that.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you agree with that?
- 8 A. No.
 - Q. The Court continued: "Instead of receiving both sides' testimony and evidence and rendering a decision, she interrupted the ex-wife's testimony and directed the parties to meet her at the ex-husband's residence, affording the ex-husband no explanation and no opportunity to object until she arrived at the scene." Is that true?
 - A. That's what it says.
- 17 Q. But you disagree?
 - A. Yes. The ex-husband, while we were time-constrained and he was not allowed to put on his entire case, he did, in fact, testify and he did, in fact, admit contempt on the record under oath.
- Q. Okay. But that's not what the Supreme
 Court said, is it?
- 24 A. No.



1 Also, in that Supreme Court decision, 2 around the bottom of Page 21 and continuing to 22, 3 the Court held: "Though she claimed she was 4 'having a hearing', she made no attempt of any kind 5 to contemporaneously record what transpired. 6 Indeed, she forbade others to make a recording, at 7 risk of incarceration." Is that true? 8 Α. I don't see that. 9 MS. TULLY: Where does that start? MR. BRYAN: I believe it's from the 10 11 bottom of 21, going on to 22. 12 It's on Page 22. MS. TULLY: Here. 13 THE DEPONENT: Oh okay. 14 BY MR. BRYAN: 15 That's what it says. Α. 16 Q. Okay. And I take it you disagree with the 17 Supreme Court? 18 I agree with that statement but I -- I Α. 19 would like to add that they did not refer to, in 20 any way, Rule 8, which forbids anybody else to 21 record it. I mean, you -- to be fair, would you agree 22 Q. 23 that the -- these issued were briefed, at length, 24 in the record in your disciplinary case?



- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. I mean, both the JDC and your lawyer and
 the Family Court Judicial Association all briefed
 these issues and discussed this ad nauseum.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. The Supreme Court ruled -- or reviewed that record before issuing this Opinion.
 - A. Right. Can I ask you a question?
 - Q. Sure.

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 10 A. Do you agree with every Supreme Court 11 decision that's issued?
- Q. That's -- that's a fair point. No. But I agree that the lawyers representing people have the opportunity in the underlying litigation to make those arguments to get that due process.
 - A. They do, and the Judicial Hearing Board, in fact, found that there was no law guiding this theory of the law and specifically asked the Supreme Court for guidance on these kinds of cases.
 - Q. And, as you know and the Judicial Hearing Board knows, it's not up to them in the end. It's up to the Supreme Court, right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. Also, just to -- to get this in, I would



1	like to just take a look at part of the video that
2	we're here about.
3	(Video of the incident at the home of
4	Matthew Gibson was played.)
5	Q. You've seen this video, right?
6	A. Which one. I'm not sure I've seen it all
7	but
8	Q. Well, this is the only video footage we
9	have of what occurred in Mr. Gibson's driveway and
10	front yard.
11	A. The only video I have seen is what was put,
12	I believe by you, on YouTube.
13	Q. Okay. Well, this is the same footage.
14	Have you seen have you seen this footage
15	before?
16	A. I don't remember seeing this part right
17	here.
18	Q. And this was an exhibit to Deputy McPeake's
19	deposition as well, I believe. Or Matt
20	Mr. Gibson's deposition.
21	All right. So in that video, Mr. Gibson
22	makes a motion, in his front yard, to recuse you as
23	the presiding family court judge.



Correct.

Α.

- 1 Q. And you denied that motion as untimely.
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And then --
- A. The rules require that to recuse me, you

 must file it -- the -- the motion seven days prior
- 6 to the hearing.
- 7 Q. Okay. Well, he didn't know seven days
- 8 prior to the hearing that you would be in his front
- 9 | yard, did he?
- 10 A. No. Which I set forth on the record, after
- 11 | we came back and gave him an opportunity, should he
- 12 | want to do that, to do that and explained to him
- 13 how to do that.
- 14 Q. Okay. And he did do that, didn't he?
- 15 A. He did.
- Q. And he said, "You won't get in my house
- 17 | without a search warrant."
- And you said, "Oh, yes, I will." Right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. In hindsight, when we were talking about
- 21 | mistakes -- in hindsight, do you believe that that
- 22 | was a mistake, to respond, "Oh, yes, I will"?
- MS. TULLY: Objection.
- 24 A. It may have seemed flippant but I intended



to go in the house.

- Q. Would you agree with me that this video illustrates that Matt Gibson did not voluntarily consent to you or anyone else entering his house on March 4th, 2020?
 - MS. TULLY: Objection.
 - A. Can you restate it? I'm not sure.
- Q. Would you agree with me that this video that we just watched illustrates that Matthew Gibson did not voluntarily consent to you going inside his house or bringing his ex-wife and her lawyer in?
- A. I don't think I've ever said that he voluntarily let me in.
- Q. And I'm not saying that you did but it sometimes can come up, whether there's consent to enter under the Fourth Amendment.
- I just want to make clear that he did not consent, as this video illustrates, right?
- A. There was a point during the exchange, after we talked about the swing, that I said -- he had told me several times he didn't want me in his house.
 - At some point during the swing discussion,



I said, "Now, are you going to let me in the 1 2 house?" And he said, "Sure." 3 4 Do I contend that was voluntary? Not 5 necessarily, no. 6 MR. BRYAN: I need to plug in my 7 It's running through the battery faster laptop. 8 than I thought. It may be a good time to take a 9 couple of minutes. 10 Okay. MS. TULLY: 11 COURT REPORTER: The time is 12 We're off the record. 12:02 p.m. 13 (A short break was taken after which 14 the proceedings continued as follows:) 15 COURT REPORTER: The time is We're on the record. 16 12:10 p.m. 17 BY MR. BRYAN: 18 I'll try to keep -- try to keep this brief 0. 19 but I just wanted to ask you one more follow-up 20 question about the Judicial Hearing Board 21 transcript that was Exhibit 5. 22 Α. Okay. 23 0. I had asked you earlier whether you thought 24 you had made any mistakes and I believe you



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 explained that you felt like you had made a mistake
2 and you explained that.

You do recall giving a statement or speaking at the Judicial Hearing Board hearing in your case.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall saying: "I am embarrassed. This Family Court has been my life. And I have strived to perfect it, improve it, for 26 and a half years. And mistakes were made that I am very sorry for, but I do accept the agreement, and I certainly accept my responsibility for the errors that were made that day."
- 14 Do you recall saying that?
- 15 A. I do.
- Q. Do you stand by that statement?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Why not?
- A. Because, since then, I have done research,
 I have had other people do research, and I don't
- 21 believe I violated the canons of ethics.
- Q. When you say you had other people do research, do you mean your lawyers?
- A. Uh-huh.



9

10

- Q. Did you have anyone else, other than your lawyers, do research?
 - A. Some other family court judges.
- Q. And who were they?
- A. Mainly, David Greenberg and my ex-husband, who is not a family court judge.
- Q. So, as we sit here today, you do not accept responsibility for errors that were made that day?
 - A. There were errors that were made that day and I do accept responsibility for those. I do not believe that I violated the canons of ethics.
- Q. But, previously, you admitted that you violated Rules 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 2.4(A), 2.4(B), and 2.5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.
- MS. TULLY: Objection. We've
- 16 discussed this ad nauseum today. But you can answer.
- 18 MR. BRYAN: I didn't ask that
- 19 question.
- 20 BY MR. BRYAN:
- 21 Q. You do --
- A. Yes. I do -- I do admit that I did admit those but I would like to explain.
- During the entire negotiations, I asked, on



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- several occasions, the Judicial Hearing Board and
 the Judicial -- not the board -- the Judicial

 Counsel -- to explain to me, canon-by-canon, how I
 had violated each canon, and I was refused that
 opportunity. I was told they do not do that that
 way.
 - And again, this is -- was the first time in 27 years that I had been called up in front of the Judicial Disciplinary Board and I was, quite frankly, paralyzed with fear.
 - I was petrified, I wanted to do anything I could to cooperate, and again, I was threatened both off the record at the time I gave my statement and at the time we were negotiating that the Judicial Hearing Commission or the Board -- I don't know what they're called -- the Judicial Investigation Commission would not accept anything less than my suspension from the bench, and I was doing whatever I could to cooperate so that that did not happen.
 - Q. So the only reason you signed the agreement was because you were afraid of being suspended?
 - A. And because I believed what they told me.
 - Q. So once they agreed not to suspend you,



	GIBOON V GOLDONON
1	then you
2	A. That was part of the plea agreement.
3	Q regretted it.
4	A. And frankly, until Judge Stotler spoke up
5	at the Judicial Hearing Board and was adamant that
6	I was being mistreated and that that was not the
7	law and that I had not violated the ethics of
8	the canon of ethics, that I began to believe that
9	something was wrong.
10	Q. So, as we sit here today, do you think that
11	Judge Stotler was correct or do you think the
12	Judicial Disciplinary Counsel was correct in their
13	opinions on whether your conduct was appropriate?
14	A. I think Judge Stotler was correct, for all
15	the reasons stated in my briefs to the Supreme
16	Court and to the and the answers that I gave to
17	the Judicial Hearing Board that they requested
18	answers to.
19	MR. BRYAN: For the record, the last
20	video that we watched will be marked as an exhibit.
21	7?
22	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
23	MR. BRYAN: Okay.

GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 7



1	(Video of the Incident at the Home of
2	Matthew Gibson was marked for
3	identification purposes as Goldston
4	Exhibit No. 7.)
5	MS. TULLY: Now, is that the video and
6	the audio recording that'll be marked as Exhibit 7?
7	MR. BRYAN: The audio recording is a
8	separate exhibit.
9	COURT REPORTER: 6.
10	MS. TULLY: Okay.
11	BY MR. BRYAN:
12	A. And I want to say that one of the things
13	that threw me off when I got to the scene was that
14	at the hearing Mr. Gibson was very he was
15	obviously not happy to be there but he was very
16	respectful of the Court, he was very forthcoming,
17	and the Mr. Gibson that was at his house when I
18	arrived there was a completely different had a
19	completely different attitude and demeanor, and
20	that that threw me.
21	Q. Now, there had been a lot of discussion
22	about Mr. Gibson allegedly not turning over
23	photographs that his ex-wife was entitled to.
24	A. Correct.



- Q. Isn't it true that the -- that either the agreement or your order, or both, provided that Mr. Gibson was to copy photographs?
 - A. That was not what the order said.

During the hearing, he said, "Before I turn them over, can I make copies of them?" Which is common. That does not mean that he could turn the copies over to her, but that, in fact, he could make copies of them to keep before he turned them over to her.

And his testimony at the hearing was that he had not -- he had done neither. He had not made copies because they were -- and I understand this -- they were -- the ones we retrieved that day were, I call them, Olan Mills-type pictures that are not easily copied because a lot of people won't copy them because they're trademarked.

But his testimony that day was not only that he had not turned over them but that he had not been able to make copies.

Q. Okay. I'm going to show you part of that -- part of the discussion at the hearing and perhaps you can tell me what's said here.

So let's mark this as Exhibit 8. I believe



```
-- this is about 22 minutes long.
 1
                                        This is from a
 2
    hearing on April 19th, 2018 in Mr. Gibson's divorce
 3
    action.
 4
            GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 8
 5
                 (Divorce Hearing Video dated April 19,
 6
                 2018 was marked for identification
 7
                 purposes as Goldston Exhibit No. 8.)
 8
            And can I -- can I just ask that -- I'm a
 9
    little nervous about this being put in the record
10
    because it is a confidential hearing and not
11
    released to the public -- not releaseable to the
12
    public.
             So I --
13
            If --
        0.
14
                 MS. TULLY: I think this needs to be
15
    placed under seal.
16
                 MR. BRYAN:
                             All right. Well --
17
                 THE DEPONENT:
                                 I'm nervous about that.
18
                 MR. BRYAN:
                             Why don't we go off the
19
    record and play it off the record, and then you can
20
    -- then you can --
21
                 MS. TULLY: Well --
22
                 MR. BRYAN: Well, she's already
23
    testified about what was said or not said.
                 MS. TULLY: Well, but if you're going
24
```



1 to rely upon this at some point, I don't want it 2 off the record. I just think we need to seal the 3 exhibits. 4 MR. BRYAN: We can seal this -- we can 5 seal this one. 6 MS. TULLY: This one. Okay. That's 7 fine. 8 MR. BRYAN: And I have just an audio 9 version of it as well but this has the video. 10 THE DEPONENT: And just so I'm clear, 11 this is the haering when we placed the agreement on 12 the record. Is that correct? 13 This is the April 19th, MR. BRYAN: 14 2018 hearing. I'm not -- I wasn't there. I'm not 15 sure --16 MR. GIBSON: What was your question, 17 ma'am? I didn't hear it. 18 The April 19th hearing. MR. BRYAN: 19 This is when we placed THE DEPONENT: 20 the agreement on the record. 21 MR. GIBSON: No. The agreement on the 22 record was September 18th. 23 MR. BRYAN: Okay. So --24 MR. GIBSON: So this was -- the photos



1 were not part of the agreement. 2 I'm not going to argue THE DEPONENT: 3 about that. 4 MR. BRYAN: Are we off the record? MS. TULLY: 5 No. We're on the record. 6 COURT REPORTER: No. We're on the 7 record. 8 MR. BRYAN: Do you want to stay on the 9 record? 10 MS. TULLY: Yes. 11 MR. BRYAN: Okay. All right. 12 to play it and then you can tell -- I guess you can 13 14 THE DEPONENT: It says at the top what 15 the date of it was. 16 MR. BRYAN: Well, let me just play 17 this and then perhaps you can tell me what was said 18 and what that means. 19 (An excerpt of Exhibit 7 was played.) 20 Let me back it up a little MR. BRYAN: 21 bit. 22 MS. TULLY: Can you turn the volume 23 up? 24 THE DEPONENT: I can't hear him.



```
1
                 MR. BRYAN:
                              It's all the way up.
 2
                 (An excerpt of Exhibit 7 was played.)
 3
                 MR. BRYAN:
                              I can move it closer to
 4
    you but it's as loud as it will go.
 5
                 THE DEPONENT:
                                 And I really can't see
 6
    it either.
 7
                  (An excerpt of Exhibit 7 was played.)
 8
    BY MR. BRYAN:
 9
            Did you hear that, what you said?
        0.
10
        Α.
            Right.
11
            What did you say?
        Q.
12
            It sounded like you said, "You shall make a
13
    copy."
14
            I lied.
                     I didn't hear that. I was
        Α.
15
    listening to what they were saying. And so the
16
    record is clear, these are not the photos we were
17
    talking about at the -- at the contempt hearing.
18
                   These are different photos?
        Q.
            Okay.
19
        Α.
            Yeah.
                   These were ones that were hanging on
20
    the wall.
21
                 MS. TULLY: That you were talking
22
    about at the contempt hearing.
23
                 THE DEPONENT:
                                 Correct.
24
                 MS. TULLY: And what you're talking
```



1 about at this hearing are separate and apart from 2 those. 3 THE DEPONENT: Correct. 4 MR. BRYAN: Okay. All right. Let me 5 play a little bit more and I believe it explains. 6 (An excerpt of Exhibit 7 was played.) 7 BY MR. BRYAN: 8 All right. So, I mean, I wasn't there. 9 You were there. But watching that, it looks like 10 the parties are discussing and you're discussing 11 copying marital photographs, including pictures on 12 the wall, right? 13 Right. They worked out how they were going 14 to do, what I just call, pictures. You know, not 15 framed pictures on the wall. They were discussing 16 how they were going to get that. 17 You can't put a picture that's on the wall, 18 hanging, that's in canvas -- and I'm not sure it 19 was canvas but it was like a canvas picture --20 there's no way to put that on a DVD. 21 So they were, at that time, talking about 22 all of their family pictures. And so, really, your only order there was 23 -- I think you said, "You shall copy the 24



1	photographs."
2	A. Right.
3	Q. And you were talking to Mr. Gibson.
4	A. Right.
5	Q. So, I mean
6	A. But we were not talking about the pictures
7	on the walls.
8	She said after they said how they'd do
9	it, she said, "But there's also pictures on the
10	wall."
11	And at the contempt hearing, he admitted
12	that he had not made copies of the pictures on the
13	wall because he couldn't find anybody that would do
14	it.
15	And he said, "But Judge, you said I could
16	make copies."
17	And I said, "You can but you've had 18
18	months to do it and you haven't done it."
19	Q. Let me play some audio here, which I will
20	label as Exhibit 8.
21	MR. BRYAN: Or 9?
22	COURT REPORTER: 9.
23	MR. BRYAN: 9.
24	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 9



```
(Recording of Kyle Lusk at Hearing re:
 1
                 Search was marked for identification
 2
 3
                 purposes as Goldston Exhibit No. 9.)
 4
            Exhibit 9. Hopefully, this will be a
        0.
    little louder.
 5
 6
        Α.
            Is it a hearing?
 7
        0.
            I think it's -- it's from a hearing.
 8
    not sure whether you were present at the time or
 9
    not, but you'll hear it and I'll ask you.
10
                 MS. TULLY:
                            Can we agree that any
11
    audio or video that you present from a hearing will
12
    be sealed, given that these are confidential
13
    hearings?
14
                 MR. BRYAN:
                              Is that from a hearing?
                                                        Ι
15
    think it was during a hearing.
16
                               Which one?
                 MR. GIBSON:
17
                 MR. BRYAN:
                              The 2018.
18
                 MR. GIBSON: No.
                                    That was not during
19
    a hearing.
20
                              Okay. I think this -- I
                 MR. BRYAN:
21
    don't think this was --
22
                 MS. TULLY: Where did this come from
23
    then?
           Do you know?
24
                 MR. BRYAN: This is -- I'm going to
```



play the audio of Kyle Lusk threatening a home 1 2 search in 2018. 3 MS. TULLY: Okay. 4 MR. BRYAN: I believe it was during 5 the negotiations. I wasn't there. I don't know. 6 THE DEPONENT: Was I there? 7 MR. BRYAN: It doesn't sound to me 8 like you were. 9 MR. GIBSON: No, you wasn't. I don't know if it was in 10 MR. BRYAN: 11 a side room or what. 12 THE DEPONENT: I don't know how I can 13 answer any questions about it but if I wasn't 14 there, go ahead. 15 If you can, you can. MR. BRYAN: 16 MR. ROBINSON: I'm going to object to 17 a proper foundation has not been established as to 18 when this was recorded, who recorded, and how it 19 was recorded. 20 MR. BRYAN: Well, let me play it --21 MS. TULLY: Thank you. You took my 22 next objection out of my mouth. 23 Let me play it first and MR. BRYAN: 24 we'll see if we can establish that.



```
1
                  (Exhibit 9 was played.)
 2
        0.
            All right. So the audio I just played --
 3
    just give me a second -- could you hear it all
 4
    right?
 5
        Α.
            Yes.
                   I could hear it.
 6
        0.
            Okay. Did you recognize any voices on it?
 7
        Α.
            Yes.
 8
            All right.
                         So did you recognize Kyle
        0.
 9
    Lusk's voice?
10
        Α.
            Yes.
11
            Did you recognize Matt Gibson's voice?
        0.
12
        Α.
            Yes.
13
            Okay. Did you recognize Brandon Johnson's
        0.
14
    voice?
15
        Α.
            No.
16
        Q.
            All right. If I were to represent to you
17
18
        Α.
            I would recognize his voice and I didn't
19
    hear it, but I'm not --
20
            Do you want me to play it again?
        Q.
21
        Α.
            If you want to.
22
                  (Exhibit 9 was played.)
23
        Α.
            Yeah.
                    That's Brandon right there.
24
                  (Exhibit 9 was played.)
```



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 A. I apologize. I do recognize Brandon2 Johnson's.
- Q. All right. So if I were to represent to
 you that this -- this was a conversation between

 Mr. Gibson and his lawyer and his ex-wife and her
 lawyer during the final hearing in 2018, would you
 have any reason to disbelieve that?
 - A. That was not a conversation that was held during the final hearing. No.
 - Q. So you don't recall being present when those words were spoken?
 - A. I was not present when those words were spoken, and could not have been present. Those were settlement negotiations that I am not allowed to be a part of --
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 A. -- as a judge.
 - Q. And here's my question and why I played that for you: At that time in 2018, Defendant -- or former Defendant Lusk can be heard to say to Mr. Gibson's lawyer, at that time: "I'm going to tell you right now, and the judge is big on that. I want Mr. Gibson to say certain things aren't there and we'll get the bailiff and the judge and



we'll go there and see." 1 2 Do you recall hearing that on that 3 recording? 4 Α. I do. 5 Q. Okay. And do you have -- do you know what 6 Mr. Lusk meant by saying that you were "big on 7 that"? 8 MS. TULLY: I'm going to object to 9 this entire line of questioning in that we don't 10 know who recorded this, there's -- we don't have 11 anybody to stipulate to the authenticity of this 12 recording, and then I'm going to further stipulate 13 -- or object to this is asking for speculation on 14 what Mr. Lusk is thinking. 15 MR. BRYAN: Well, your objections are 16 noted but, fortunately, I am allowed to do that in 17 a deposition, and this was provided --18 I am not saying she can't MS. TULLY: 19 answer the question. I am simply objecting. 20 MR. BRYAN: This was provided in 21 discovery, so you've had it. 22 BY MR. BRYAN: I know you don't know what Mr. Lusk was 23 24 thinking but do you know what he was referring to



1	as "the judge is big on that"?
2	A. I would never try to speculate on why
3	Mr. Lusk thought anything he thinks.
4	Q. You previously testified that it was no
5	secret that you did these visits to litigants'
6	homes, right?
7	A. It was not.
8	Q. Okay. So, at this time, having practiced
9	before you for many years, Mr. Lusk would've been
10	aware that you engaged in this practice.
11	A. I don't know what he was aware of.
12	Q. Let me play you another recording, which
13	we'll say is Exhibit 10, and this is a voicemail
14	that Mr. Lusk left for Mr. Gibson the night before
15	the March 4th, 2020 hearing.
16	GOLDSTON DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 10
17	(Voicemail Recording of Kyle Lusk was
18	marked for identification purposes as
19	Goldston Exhibit No. 10.)
20	(Exhibit 10 was played.)
21	Q. Okay. Could you hear that all right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. So I'll represent to you that that was a
24	voicemail left by Mr. Lusk on Mr. Gibson's



- 1 voicemail the night before the March 4th, 2020
- 2 hearing, where Mr. Lusk called Mr. Gibson and said,
- 3 The Court has asked me to convey to you any
- 4 settlement proposal I may have offering \$5,000
- 5 to settle "Otherwise, we'll see you tomorrow."
- 6 You heard that, right?
- 7 A. I heard that.
- 8 Q. By Court, was Mr. Lusk referring to you?
- 9 MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for
- 10 | speculation.
- 11 A. I don't know who he was referring to.
- 12 Q. Okay. You were the presiding Family Court
- 13 judge in the case --
- 14 A. I was.
- 15 Q. -- that Mr. Lusk was calling about.
- 16 A. I was.
- 17 Q. Okay. So is there any other judge that
- 18 Mr. Lusk could be referring to as the Court?
- 19 A. Again, I don't know what Mr. Lusk was
- 20 | referring to.
- Q. Okay. Did you ask Mr. Lusk to convey a
- 22 settlement offer to Mr. Gibson?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. So you have no idea what Mr. Lusk was



1 | talking about?

2

6

A. I know why he may have made the call.

attempt a settlement before going to trial.

- 3 Q. Why is that?
- A. Because when we have contempt hearings, or any hearings, the rules require that the parties
- And that is one of the issues that I

 consider when deciding whether to award attorney

 fees is whether or not an attempt has been made to

 settle the case.
- So I assume that's why that call was made.
- 12 I do not know.
- Q. But you heard Mr. Lusk say, "The Court has asked me to convey to you..." Right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's your testimony that you did not

ask Mr. Lusk to convey a settlement offer to

18 Mr. Gibson.

- 19 A. I did not, and I think if you ask Mr. Lusk
- 20 he would tell you the same thing.
- Q. But that's not what he said, is it?
- 22 A. It is not what he said.
- Q. Just briefly, back to what happened in
- 24 Mr. Gibson's yard on March 4th, 2020. As we



- 1 watched in the video and listened to the audio,
- 2 | while you were standing in Mr. Gibson's yard, you
- 3 had threatened to have other individuals arrested
- 4 | who were filming, right? I believe you already
- 5 admitted that.
- 6 MS. TULLY: Objection. Asked and
- 7 | answered.

- A. One other individual.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that was Mr. Gibson's 10 girlfriend, who was filming the video.
- 11 A. It was who was filming the video. I don't
 12 know whether that's Mr. Gibson's girlfriend or not.
- Q. And the reason I bring this up again is -
 14 but I forgot to ask you before -- as a family court

 15 judge in that situation, do you believe that you
- 16 | had any jurisdiction over that individual that you
- 17 | threatened with arrest?
- A. I think that person had no authority or
 right to be at a hearing, and I think that
 individuals that violate rules in front of a judge
 are subject to finding of contempt.
- Would I have arrested her? Probably not,
- 23 but I wanted to make it clear to her that she was
- 24 not allowed, No. 1, to be there, or to film.



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So she was not a litigant before you, was she?
 - A. She was not.
- Q. And she was on Mr. Gibson's property at that time, right?
- 6 MS. TULLY: Objection. Asked and 7 answered.
- 8 A. I think so. I'm not sure exactly where she 9 was standing.
- Q. You said -- well, you said, at one point, she was at the top of the driveway.
- A. Correct. But she was behind a car. I
 don't know if she was actually on his property or
 on the driveway. She was in the direct area.
 - Q. Well, did you ever communicate to her, or anyone else, that you were in the process of conducting a judicial hearing?
 - A. Certainly. I said we are conducting a hearing. Mr. Gibson obviously knew it was a hearing. He was making motions before the Judge, that the Judge was ruling on, and that can only be done orally in a hearing before a Judge.
- Q. Following this incident, have you developed or implemented any sort of written policies or



1 procedures regarding visiting the homes of 2 litigants? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. Have you ever done it again? 5 Α. No. 6 0. Do you ever -- would you ever do it again? 7 Α. I don't know. 8 Is there anything that you would do 0. 9 differently from March 4th, 2020? 10 Α. I would fashion a way that the Court itself 11 could record it -- record the proceeding, and not a 12 biased witness or a party or anything else. 13 But you don't regret physically going 0. inside Mr. Gibson's home? 14 15 Objection. Asked and MS. TULLY: 16 answered. 17 MR. BRYAN: I don't think I asked 18 that. 19 Do I think I did anything wrong? Α. 20 Do I regret the consequences of it? 21 Absolutely. 22 Do you regret threatening Mr. Gibson with Q. 23 arrest?

When I'm conducting a hearing, I



No.

Α.

1 expect the litigants and the witnesses who are in front of me to do what I ask them to do. I think 2 3 that's my role as judge. 4 And, again, I believed I was helping him as 5 much as I was helping her. 6 MR. BRYAN: Okay. I don't know that I 7 have much -- anything else. Let me just talk to my 8 people here --9 MS. TULLY: Sure. 10 MR. BRYAN: -- and maybe we're done. 11 (Off the record at 12:41 p.m.) 12 (A short break was taken after which 13 the proceedings continued as follows:) 14 COURT REPORTER: The time is 15 12:47 p.m. We're on the record. 16 BY MR. BRYAN: 17 Q. When your sworn statement was taken by the 18 Judicial Disciplinary Counsel, I believe you were 19 -- you were asked about the so-called home visits 20 and you said that "the lawyers love that I do this 21 because it enables them to say, you know, 'Be 22 truthful in your disclosure because if you try to 23 hide something, she might go out and look for it.'" 24 Do you recall saying that?



- 1 A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you stand by that?
- 3 A. I was told that by different lawyers.
- 4 Those are their words, not mine.
- 5 Q. And you further testified that:
- 6 "Generally, it's the attorney that asks me to do
- 7 | it."

- 8 A. Yes. An attorney. One of the attorneys or
- 9 -- I've since remembered there was a -- and I may
- 10 have testified about it in my statement, that there
- 11 | was a case where one of the parties was pro se, and
- 12 | they were saying that she had gotten rid of a bunch
- 13 of Confederate memorabilia and she said, "It's not
- 14 | there. You're welcome to come and look for it,"
- 15 and so we did.
- 16 Q. So the lawyers knew that you would go to a
- 17 | litigant's home and look for something, but on
- 18 | March 4th, 2020, Mr. Gibson was representing
- 19 | himself pro se.
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Mr. Gibson didn't know that you would do
- 22 this.
- 23 A. Well, according to the -- the tape you just
- 24 played, he did know because Mr. Lusk had told him



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 that I would -- that I had done that on occasion.
 2 So, yes, he did know.
 - Q. All right. So could Mr. Gibson have requested you to go to his ex-wife's house to look for something that he didn't get?
 - A. If there had -- if there had been evidence that it was there, yes. In this case, there was evidence that the stuff was at his house because he told me it was.
 - Q. Generally speaking -- generally speaking, pro se litigants before you wouldn't be aware of the fact that they could ask you to go look for something at the other party's house.
 - MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for speculation.
 - A. I don't know what pro se litigants know.
- 17 Q. But you do know that the lawyers know it.
- MS. TULLY: Objection. Calls for
- 19 | speculation.
- A. The lawyers that I've done it with know it,
 and I can tell you that I have, since this
 proceeding, because the proceeding was ongoing, I
 had two pro se litigants ask me to go and my mama
- 24 | didn't make no fools, so I sent a deputy, not my



- bailiff, out to their house and it was a fiasco,
 and nothing was accomplished.
 - Q. So you didn't go yourself?
- 4 A. No.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 5 Q. You sent law enforcement and it didn't work 6 out well.
- A. It did not, because there was a disagreement at the scene about who got what, and the deputy was in no position whatsoever to figure out whose was what, because that's not his job.

 That's the judge's job.
 - Q. So it's your opinion that it would be a better process, or more efficient, if you, as the Judge, just went there yourself?
 - A. In that particular circumstance, yes. My thought has always been deputies do not make decisions about who gets what, and if I send a deputy to get, in this case, pictures, and Mrs. Gibson has said -- had said, "No, these are the pictures," and Mr. Gibson said, "No, those are not the pictures", what does the deputy do?

 If I'm there, I can make the decision and
 - If I'm there, I can make the decision and we can go on about it.
- MR. BRYAN: All right. Thank you. I



1 don't have any other questions right now. 2 MR. ROBINSON: I don't have any 3 questions. 4 MS. TULLY: I have no questions. 5 Judge, I'm sure I don't have to explain to you the 6 right to read or waive your deposition testimony. 7 THE DEPONENT: I'll waive. 8 MS. TULLY: You'll waive? Okay. Oh, 9 can we do one more thing on the record? 10 There is a chainsaw that Mrs. Gibson 11 -- we talked about the chainsaw in your deposition 12 last week. She had gotten one, then a different 13 one was awarded, and the judge had her bring the other chainsaw back to the courtroom that 14 15 Mr. Gibson is supposed to have. It is still sitting in her chambers. 16 17 THE DEPONENT: And so it's clear, 18 Mr. Gibson did come and get that chainsaw but then 19 brought it back and said it wasn't the right one. 20 MS. TULLY: Okay. 21 THE DEPONENT: Whatever. 22 MR. BRYAN: Do you want to pickup the 23 chainsaw? 24 MS. TULLY: Can you come pickup that



```
1
    chainsaw?
 2
                 MR. GIBSON:
                              It's not the right one.
 3
    It wasn't entered on the search warrant. I don't
 4
    know which one.
 5
                 MS. TULLY: The fact of the matter is,
 6
    though, it's property that belongs to somebody, to
 7
    one of the Gibsons.
 8
                 THE DEPONENT: I've been told it
 9
    doesn't work and it leaks -- it leaks oil on my
10
    floor, which I do not appreciate.
11
                             Just go get the chainsaw.
                 MR. BRYAN:
12
                 MR. GIBSON:
                              Okay.
13
                 THE DEPONENT: And whoever's at the
14
    window --
15
                             He'll get the chainsaw.
                 MR. BRYAN:
16
                 THE DEPONENT: -- will provide it to
17
    you. I just don't want it in my chambers anymore.
18
                 MS. TULLY:
                             Okay.
19
                                 If possible.
                 THE DEPONENT:
20
                 MS. TULLY: I think we're finished
21
    now.
22
                 COURT REPORTER:
                                   The time is
23
                This concludes the deposition.
    12:55 p.m.
24
                 (Having indicated she would like
```



LOUISE GOLDSTON GIBSON V GOLDSTON

March 01, 2022



1	STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,
2	COUNTY OF RALEIGH, to wit:
3	I, Bradford L. Cooper, a Notary Public
4	within and for the County and State aforesaid, duly
5	commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that
6	the foregoing deposition of LOUISE E. GOLDSTON was
7	duly taken by me and before me at the time and
8	place and for the purpose specified in the caption
9	hereof.
10	I do further certify that the said
11	proceedings were correctly taken by me in shorthand
12	notes, and that the same were accurately written
13	out in full and reduced to typewriting by means of
14	computer-aided transcription.
15	My commission expires May 14, 2023.
16	Given under my hand this 15 day of March,
17	2022.
18	Bradfed & Cooper
19	
20	BRADFORD L. COOPER, Notary Public
21	
22	
23	
24	

